



Territories of Rivers Action Plans 1006 R4 TRAP project

2nd Interregional Steering Committee meeting

Action plan 2nd semester 1.7.2012 – 31.12.2012

Groningen NL, 27.6.2012

3.1 Component 1

3.1.1 Component main features		
Title	Management and coordination	
Total eligible costs	374 631,52 €	
Responsible partner	Lead Partner	
Planned results	A well managed project reaching its objectives, without serious conflict between the partners, without irregularities and with smooth reporting to the programme	
Output indicators	Indicators	Target
	Average number of Steering Committee (SC) meetings organised per year	2

3.1.3 Detailed work plan July – December 2012

Activities

A 1.1 COORDINATION & REPORTING

- 1st Progress Report (PR) reporting activities (partner reports and project report)
- 6 (1 per month) e-meetings of the PMT.

A 1.2 INTERREGIONAL STEERING COMMITTEE (ISC)

- 3rd ISC, Zemgale, LV; November 2012; focus on the four Thematic Areas, insisting on partner regions' needs and expectations.

Outputs

1 ISC meeting; 6 PMT meetings; 10 partner audited reports and the TRAP 1stPR; agenda, minutes, participants and partner activity reports from the 3rd ISC; monthly conclusions of the PMT e-meetings (e.-mails)

Component 2

Output indicators	Indicators	Target
	N° of press releases disseminated	18
	N° of brochures created	2
	N° of copies of brochures disseminated	5000
	N° of newsletters created	3
	N° of copies of newsletters disseminated	1000
	N° of dissemination events organised	19
	N° of other events participated in	20
Possible additional output indicators	Project publication	1
	Number of newsletters downloaded from the web site	1000
	Copies of the project publication disseminated	500
	Project dissemination strategy	1
Result indicators	Indicators	Target
	N° of articles/appearances in press and media	20
	Estimated n° of participants in events	640
	Average n° of visits per month on operation's website	1300

3.2.2 Detailed work plan July – December 2012

Activities

A 2.1 PROJECT DISSEMINATION STRATEGY & PROJECT DISSEMINATION TEAM: Production of the dissemination strategies per partner and formulation of the overall, the project dissemination activity.

A 2.2 PROJECT MARKETING MATERIAL: Production of the project brochures and distribution 2500 to all partners. ALSO: 2.2: Production of the project logo. [DEVIATION FROM SEM 1](#)

A 2.3 PROJECT WEB SITE: Production of the project web site. ALSO 2.3: Organization of the common costs tender, selection of consultant, start production of the project web site and the brochures. [DEVIATION FROM SEM 1](#)

A 2.4 PROJECT NEWSLETTER: Production of the 1st project newsletter; PDT; uploaded to the project web site

A 2.6 APPEARANCES IN THE PRESS: Press / or media release by PP9 who hosts the 3rd interregional meeting

A 2.8 NETWORKS & LOCAL DISSEMINATION: 4 Synergy events participation; local dissemination material (translation of the brochures, project files, project fact sheet, etc.) available for download.

A 2.9 INTERREGIONAL CP 2 MEETINGS: 1 dissemination event in Zemgale (LV), November 2012

Output(s)

4 participations in events & documentation; 1 interregional dissemination event organised; 9 dissemination strategies (PP2 & PP3 share the same); 1 project dissemination strategy, including list of related stakeholders; 2500 brochures, 1 web site; 1 project newsletter; press release by PP9, documentation from; programme & outputs from the interregional dissemination event in Zemgale.

ALSO: Tender for the common costs; selection of contractor; TRAP logo; [DEVIATION FROM SEM 1](#)

3.3 Component 3

Output indicators	Indicators	Target
	N° of interregional events (seminars, workshops, study visits, etc.) organised by the project to exchange experience	16
	Total N° of participants in all these interregional events	400
	N° of good practices identified	23
	N° of regional/local policies and instruments addressed in the field tackled by the operation	9
	Number of implementation plans developed (applicable only from fourth call onward)	9
Possible additional output indicators		
Result indicators	Indicators	Target
	N° of staff members with increased capacity (knowledge/skills/expertise) resulting from the exchange of experience at interregional events	30
	N° of good practices successfully transferred (if applicable)	9
	N° of regional/local policies and instruments improved in the field tackled by the operation	5

3.3.3 Detailed work plan July – December 2012

Activities

A 3.1 GOOD PRACTICE ANALYSIS: In depth description of good practices under thematic areas 1 and 4 (TA1 & TA4); screening of all good practices, and selection of better practices (those that regions are interested in transferring/ impacting policies).

DEVIATION: THE GP IN DEPTH DESCRIPTION AND ANALYSIS COMPLETED DURING THE 1ST SEMESTER

A 3.3 LOCAL POLITICAL MEETINGS: Set up of the transfer teams in all regions; distribution of the TRAP 23 GPs and any additional GPs identified during the GP analysis.

A 3.4 POLICY IMPACT: Template for the implementation plan and the policy impact plan distributed, analysed and agreed during the 3rd interregional CP3 meeting.

A 3.5 INTERREGIONAL CP3 MEETINGS: 3rd interregional CP3 meeting and study visit in Zemgale (LV), November 2013. Focus of the interregional meeting is confirmation of the good practice transfer / policy impact partner interests (partners have expressed their good practice transfer / policy impact priorities during the preparation of the project. However, it is possible to change opinions of course).

Output(s)

Organisation of 1 interregional CP3 meeting & 1 study visit in Zemgale and documentation (agenda, participants, minutes); 23 GP described in depth; list of selected “better practices”; list of good

practice transfer/ policy impact priorities for all regions; programme and presentations from the study visit in Zemgale.

ALSO, DEVIATION FROM SEM1: REGIONAL ANALYSIS NEEDS REPORTS THAT WERE NOT MADE IN THE 1ST SEMESTER

Annex REMINDER: Component 1, 2 and 3 general information

3.1 Component 1

3.1.1 Component main features		
Title	Management and coordination	
Total eligible costs	374 631,52 €	
Responsible partner	Lead Partner	
Planned results	A well managed project reaching its objectives, without serious conflict between the partners, without irregularities and with smooth reporting to the programme	
Output indicators	Indicators	Target
	Average number of Steering Committee (SC) meetings organised per year	2

3.2 Component 2

3.2.1 Component main features		
Title	Communication and dissemination	
Total eligible costs	449 075,14 €	
Responsible partner	South-West Regional Authority, PP6	
Planned results	Awareness raising about the potential of integrated river & river territory management policies & tools and Europe-wide dissemination of the project results. Sustainability of the operation and future capitalisation. Synergies with and added value resulting from relevant Interreg IV C projects as well as with WFD & ELC mainstream organisations. TRAP is a platform for WFD & ELC specialists; model for "sustainable, attractive regional growth" (see CP3) disseminated through this platform. An internal well functioning e-communication space for project partners and selected collaboration teams is operating well.	
Output indicators	Indicators	Target
	N° of press releases disseminated	18
	N° of brochures created	2
	N° of copies of brochures disseminated	5000
	N° of newsletters created	3
	N° of copies of newsletters disseminated	1000
	N° of dissemination events organised	19
	N° of other events participated in	20
Possible additional output indicators	Project publication	1
	Number of newsletters downloaded from the web site	1000

	Copies of the project publication disseminated	500
	Project dissemination strategy	1
Result indicators	Indicators	Target
	N° of articles/appearances in press and media	20
	Estimated n° of participants in events	640
	Average n° of visits per month on operation's website	1300

3.2.2 Component general description

Please describe the content of this component in further detail (e.g. issue tackled, proposed approach, nature of the planned activities). For further information on the components, please refer to the programme manual.

I. STRATEGY & MAIN TARGET GROUPS: (A) Partner regions & stakeholders through local dissemination activities. (B) One of the most important subobjectives is to disseminate the results and also ensure the transferability of the good practice approach through a jointly developed model to organisations and regions beyond the strict project partnership. Our basic strategy for doing this is by (i) exploring synergies with related Interreg IV C projects such as the WWF; (ii) liaising with European water-networks; (iii) liaising with interregional river basin networks; & (vi) disseminating TRAP outputs and results through regional development networks. (i): In the first case, we will meet with Water Ways Forward project, analyse in depth our respective approaches and identify complementarities, leading hopefully to exchange of good practices between us & joint event participation. The purpose is to ensure multiplier effect of both projects to their partners. This activity happens relatively early in the project since WWF is an already on going project and will be ending before TRAP. (ii): In the second case, we will first of all get in touch with WISE <http://water.europa.eu> i.e. the water information system for Europe. WISE comprises strategic level EU stakeholders in water management, such as: DG Environment, EUROSTAT, the European Environmental Agency, the Joint Research centre of the Institute for Environment and Sustainability. We will get in touch with the EWI-European Water Initiative (<http://www.euwi.net>) which is an external relations tool of the EU. TRAP can be useful also to networks that operate beyond the EU. We will explain TRAP to WISE & EWI & will try to liaise in terms of our outputs. We will invite WISE & EWI in the kick off meeting and the closing conference, as participants and speakers. (iii) Liaising with the Danube River basin, with long shared history among project partners, namely PP5 and PP7, (<http://www.rivernet.org/danube/danube.htm>); because the Danube RB has been the focus of protection & development actions for many years. Moreover, it is well networked to ELC applications through their office in Vienna. (iv): We will disseminate TRAP methodologies for policy impact and results through EURADA (PP2 & PP1 members) as a long-term European /International network of regional development agencies.

II. STRUCTURE OF CP2: 2.1 Project dissemination strategy and project dissemination team; 2.2 Project marketing material; 2.3 Project web site; 2.4 Project newsletter; 2.5 Project publication; 2.6 Appearances in the press; 2.7 Closing conference; 2.8 Networks (liaising with mainstream networks outside the TRAP partnership) & local dissemination; 2.9 Interregional CP2 meetings.

III. RESPONSIBILITIES: PP6 SWRA coordinator, PP5 SVCD 2.7 responsible.

IV. INTERNATIONAL CP2 MEETINGS include (a) planning & analysis of CP2 work & (b) sessions open to the public, disseminating TRAP & promoting the discussion on the WFD & the ELC, they are linked to the study visits in CP3.

3.3 Component 3

3.3.1 Component main features		
Title	Exchange of experiences dedicated to the identification and analysis of good practices	
Total eligible costs	1 110 109,94 €	
Responsible partner	Kainuun Etu Oy, PP1	
Planned results	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Regions have strengthened integrated river and river territory management policies & tools; they deal more effectively with the demands of the WFD, benefit from the potential of the ELC, and perform better in the Europe 2020 strategy. 2. Four thematic areas (GOVERNANCE, MONITORING, AQUATIC ENVIRONMENT, RIVER TOURISM) through 23 good practices have been analysed. 3. Ten regional policy tools have been impacted. 4. At least 4 good practices have been transferred. 5. One model on 'attractive regional growth' has been jointly developed. 6. A good practice data base has been built. 	
Output indicators	Indicators	Target
	N° of interregional events (seminars, workshops, study visits, etc.) organised by the project to exchange experience	16
	Total N° of participants in all these interregional events	400
	N° of good practices identified	23
	N° of regional/local policies and instruments addressed in the field tackled by the operation	9
	Number of implementation plans developed (applicable only from fourth call onward)	9

Possible additional output indicators		
Result indicators	Indicators	Target
	N° of staff members with increased capacity (knowledge/skills/expertise) resulting from the exchange of experience at interregional events	30
	N° of good practices successfully transferred (if applicable)	9
	N° of regional/local policies and instruments improved in the field tackled by the operation	5

3.3.2 Component general description

Please describe the content of this component in further detail (e.g. issue tackled, proposed approach, nature of the planned activities). For further information on the components, please refer to the programme manual.

- I.GOOD PRACTICE DATA BASE: In the preparation phase 23 potential GPs were identified by the partners, listed below by thematic area. At least 4 of these will be the final transfer-focus.
- TA1 GOVERNANCE: GP1.1 Methods for stakeholder involvement and consensus building through the development of three-year environmental and development plan; GP1.2 Economic impact assessment tools (=methodology) for stakeholder involvement and consensus building. TA2 MONITORING: GP2.1 Ground and surface water monitoring technology & operational aspects; GP 2.2 Monitoring programmes for the implementation of the regional RBAP. GP2.3 Information platforms and operational links between the regional and the national level in the context of the WFD; GP2.4 Monitoring technology and approach for sediments; GP2.5 Systems for forecasting of floods.TA3
- AQUATIC ENVIRONMENT: GP3.1 Rehabilitation project of river flow; GP3.2 Rehabilitation of the water cycle; GP3.3: Institutional good practice for ensuring aquatic ecosystem quality; GP3.4 Regional planning guidelines and resource conservation;GP3.5 Resource conservation institutional structures; GP3.6 Rural environment protection scheme & forestry and water quality guidelines;GP3.7 Project on river territory rehabilitation & land use change; GP3.8 Reservoir for temporary water storage as safety provision and as Natura 2000 area;GP3.9 Re-meandering of river stream bed as both WFD and safety measure; GP3.10 Integrated rural intervention with re-meandering. helophyte water filtering of agricultural and industrial effluent GP3.11 Determination of water management practices in a big lake. TA4 RIVER TOURISM: GP4.1Tourism development plans and product solutions targeting quality market segments and integrating water and landscape protection; GP4.2 Trade offs and economic tools supporting the implementation;GP4.3 Economic development tools & examples of solutions for including landscape & cultural heritage into the regional economic developments

- GP4.3 Tourism development plans & products ensuring fishing tourism and water sports compatibility and balance; GP4.4 Project demonstrating environmentally friendly tourism development project taking into account forest resources.

II. STRUCTURE OF CP3: CP3 is organised into 5 types of activities (A):

- A 3.1 GOOD PRACTICE ANALYSIS
- A 3.2 STUDY VISITS
- A 3.3 LOCAL MEETINGS
- A 3.4 POLICY IMPACT
- A 3.5 INTERREGIONAL CP3 MEETINGS.

III. PARTNER INVOLVEMENT: All partners; KE PP1 is overall responsible; 3 co-ordinators for the GP analysis (TA1&TA 2, PP4; TA3, PP6; TA 4, PP2); PP4 coordinates the 'attractive regional growth' model. In principle, partners with a stronger profile in both the WFD & ELC, are those coordinating the related tasks in Component 3.

IV. GP TRANSFER / POLICY IMPACT: Regional type of transfer - related stakeholders support the process to the point of mainstreaming, These are the 'transfer teams ' / 'political groups'.